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CHAIRMAN HANDS: We have the application major preliminary and final site plan, Prism Millington, LLC, on the site commonly known as Tifa.

Obviously we've spent the best part of 10,11 meetings over the last three months or so, I guess, at this point. And I think last time we talked about trying to come to some closure shortly and that we had an additional public objection to private -- so I think there's a continuation of effort there and work and comments. And from that, I think there was also some Board professional comments to take a look back and -- through the ordinances, et cetera.

So without deliberating too much further here, I'll pass it over maybe to Jolanta or Deb just to take us through to the beginnings of tonight's meeting.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Jolanta, it's all you.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Thank you, Chairman. Thank you, Deb.

At the conclusion or near the conclusion of last month's meeting, the Board

1 was presented a case by an objector
2 represented by Mr. Robert Simon. The Board
3 heard testimony from a planner, Mr. Pessolano.
4 And at the conclusion of Mr. Pessolano's
5 testimony, the Board requested that Liz
6 Leheny, our Board planner, and I respond to
7 Mr. Pessolano's testimony during this hearing
8 for the Board.

9

10
11 12 recently have received a petition from members month, it has come to our attention that Board members are receiving e-mails and most of the public.

Board members should be advised that these e-mails and petitions and any other communication that the Board is receiving from the outside, any ex parte, or what we called ex parte communications, cannot be considered while the Board is considering this application. While the Board is engaging in its quasi-judicial capacity, the Board is only allowed to look at the evidence that is presented to the Board during the hearing. And the reason for that, as Board members are aware, is that testimony is under

1 oath and it is subject to examination by Board 2 members, examination by your professionals, 3 and it's also subject to cross-examination by 4 any member of the public who wishes to 5 cross-examine, and also, of course, the 6 applicant.

8 application by the applicant. That is the 9 evidence that's been presented to the Board. 10 Any other evidence that the Board or members

11 of the public wish for the Board to consider
12 must be presented here at a hearing under public at this point if members of the public wish to bring something to the Board's

1 attention, please do not e-mail Board members
2 outside of this hearing. Please do not
3 approach them, please do not send them any
4 correspondence, because it will not be
5 considered. And we want to make sure that
6 every member of the public is heard.

And in order for us to ensure that your rights are protected and that your opinions, your objections, your -- you know, what you want the Board to hear is heard, you have to come to the Board. You have to engage in these hearings and you have to put your objections, your opinions, your comments, on the record. So, please, no e-mails to Board members. Please appear here. We also were advised that there was an e-mail that went around after the last hearing indicating that we should have responded to the objector's professional right at that hearing.

Well, there are a couple of facts that I'd like to place on the record and a couple of recommendations to the Board and just simply some Board housekeeping.

This application was submitted to
the Board very early this year. The applicant's plans, their architectural drawings, have been -- I'm sorry. Everything was placed on the Township's website so that anyone in the public, any objector, anybody, could have viewed it -- correct me, please, Deb, if I'm wrong -- starting in probably August.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Before that. I believe it was prior to June.

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.
COORDINATOR COONCE: At least ten days prior to the first meeting in June.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. So, you know, the plans, especially the architectural drawings, have been up on the website at least since ten days before this hearing started in September, and it did start in September, at least according to my notes. Correct?

COORDINATOR COONCE: No, this meeting?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.
COORDINATOR COONCE: No, this meeting has been going on since June.

MS. MAZIARZ: Oh, since June. I

1 apologize.

3 I have the date. June 9th was the first

COORDINATOR COONCE: June. In fact, meeting.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. So we have been
6 looking at the same plans. The public has
7 been aware of this. Everyone has been aware 8 of this application for not months, probably 9 years. But we have been apprised of what the 0 applicant actually intends to build on this 1 site since at least May. At least May.

November. We only learned that there was a challenge to the Board's jurisdiction in November, when these plans were there since May. And without a phone call to the Board's attorney or anyone reaching out to the Board's planner or any of the other Board professionals or the Board secretary, we were blindsided with someone questioning the Board's jurisdiction as late as November.

We are now prepared, after reviewing the record, after reviewing the transcripts, and after reviewing the ordinance yet again, because we want to make sure that we are doing

1 the right thing for the Long Hill Planning 2 Board.

We will now respond to that testimony that was given only in November. Now, there are a couple of points that Mr. Pessolano covered in his testimony that I will go over with our Board planner, Liz Leheny. Anything that has to do -- I'm sorry?

COORDINATOR COONCE: Hold on. The objecting attorney, Rob Simon, is requesting to come in. Shall should I let him in as panelist at this time?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay.
MS. MAZIARZ: He can come in as a panelist, but nobody is talking until we are finished. We are going to follow procedure. COORDINATOR COONCE: Correct. MS. MAZIARZ: Okay? Very good. There were a couple of points made by the objector's planner. Some of those points were legitimate planning issues and some of those points were legal issues. They were legal opinions. And Mr. Pessolano is not

1 an attorney.

2
3 4 regarding the Board's jurisdiction as well as

5 the design. We'll get into design a little
6 bit later. Those are certainly planning
7 issues. And I understand, you know, just
8 based on the comments that Board members made
9 in the last hearing, that may be something, a 10 discussion that the Board might want to have

11 later in this hearing.
12 But, first of all, the first issue
So we will go over all of what Mr. Pessolano brought to the forefront that Mr. Pessolano brought up with regard to Board jurisdiction is that according to Mr. Pessolano, the applicant requires a D-1 use variance because there is more than one principal building on the lot and no more than one principal building shall be permitted on any lot in the township.

Now, this implicates a planning
issue, but it also implicates a legal issue, which is a statutory ordinance construction or interpretation issue.

And I would like to recommend to the Board that the MOU (sic) overlay zone

1 references buildings in the plural. Now, both Ms. Leheny and I concede that the ordinance is not artfully written. Ms. Leheny had testified to that previously. While it is not artfully written, it is clear by taking this ordinance section in its totality that this ordinance section references more than one building. It says "commercial buildings," plural, in its body, and it also references "other buildings," plural.

So it stands to reason that the intent of this ordinance was that there would be more than one building permitted in this zone.

In addition, the MOU (sic) overlay indicates that the uses that are permitted in the LIU zone or the LU -- LI-2 zone, I apologize, are also permitted here, and in the LI-2 zone district, more than one principal building is certainly permitted.

Now, for the planning part of this, Ms. Leheny, you are still under oath.

Do you understand that?
MS. LEHENY: I do.
MS. MAZIARZ: Thank you.

Now, Ms. Leheny, would it be reasonable from a planning perspective to expect that all of these units would be housed in one singular principal building?

MS. LEHENY: No. I think it's clear the way the zoning is written, as you mentioned, it mentions buildings, plural, in the MU-O zone. And, you know, if you were to have, with this density and with the height restrictions, all the units in one building, it would be one sort of massive building surrounded by a sea of parking, which would resemble, you know, either like a big box retailer or a large institutional use, like a hospital, and I really do not think -- you know, I think that would kind of be an absurd result and I really don't believe that was the intent of the ordinance, which $I$ think clearly contemplated that there would be multiple buildings on the site.

MS. MAZIARZ: Thank you, Ms. Leheny. The next point that was brought up was the issue of the swimming pool and the clubhouse. The applicant has identified those as accessory uses. Mr. Pessolano believes

1 that they are principal permitted uses.

Now, I submit to the Board that when a use is an accessory use, accessory uses are those uses that are customary, incidental, and subordinate to the principal use. In other words, an accessory use must be one that would not logically be able to stand alone if the principal use to which it is attached were to be removed.

So in this case, a private swimming
pool -- we're not talking about a public swim club or anything of that nature. No one's charging money for people to come to this swimming pool.

Would anyone build a swimming pool with a clubhouse on this site without the principal use attached, which is a residential development? That is the question that the Board needs to ask itself when determining whether or not this is accessory or whether this is a principal permitted use.

Now, with regard to the planning aspects, Ms. Leheny, are swimming pools and clubhouses ordinary, customary, subordinate to, incidental, generally constructed along

1 with a residential development such as this 2 one?

3
4 know, at this point in time in this market, in 5 most inclusionary multifamily developments, 6 certainly that I've reviewed in communities 7 similar to Long Hill, swimming pools, 8 community clubhouses are included, sometimes 9 even tennis courts.

And there's several reasons for
11 this. On the one hand, it's a marketing
12 issue. It makes the development more 22 the existing facilities in the community. And

23 it also reduces, you know, vehicle trips, sort
24 of, you know, residents leaving the site to go
But, also, a lot of municipalities want there to be accommodations on site for the residents of this project, which would reduce the demand from the development -- you know, of the residents from the development, the increased demand that they would put on to facilities elsewhere in the township.

MS. MAZIARZ: Thank you. Mr. Pessolano also mentions that these are principal uses. And that is his opinion, that they're principal uses, because the section which focuses on the MOU (sic) overlay zone does not mention accessory uses. However, this fact, that an ordinance does not mention accessory uses, does not obviate the need for the Board to determine whether or not this is actually an accessory use.

Ordinances don't generally -- and this is a legal issue. This is not a planning issue. Ordinances generally do not mention or don't have to mention whether or not these accessory uses are accessory uses effectively. And the Board must determine whether this is an accessory use that is customary, incidental, and subordinate to that principal use which is on the property.

Now, there are a couple of zones in town that allow restaurants. Some of the B zones allow restaurants. And as an accessory use, in at least one of the $B$ zones, it allows a refuse enclosure.

So if in a zone that doesn't allow a

1 refuse enclosure as an accessory use, would
2 the Board find, if a restaurant wanted to
3 enclose its refuse, for this not to be an
4 accessory use? That also leads to somewhat of 5 an absurd result.

So the Board must determine, regardless of the fact that, as we mentioned before, this ordinance is not artfully written, the fact that accessory uses were omitted, the Board must still determine whether this is an accessory use. And I believe that Ms. Leheny will agree, correct, with me --

MS. LEHENY: Yes, I do agree. Yep. MS. MAZIARZ: -- that in our opinion, both legal and planning, this is an accessory use.

Now, the next issue that was brought to the table was also the floor area ratio. Mr. Pessolano took the position that a floor area ratio variance, or a $D-4$, which is a use variance, it's an FAR variance, is needed because in another area in the zoning ordinance, it states that it might be -- it might be needed under another zone, under
another definition.
However, the MOU (sic) zone itself establishes the density that is permitted in this zone.

Ms. Leheny, am I correct in that assertion?

MS. LEHENY: Yes. There is a specific FAR for the MU-O. The section of the ordinance that was referenced by Mr. Pessolano is sort of a generic FAR for residential uses throughout the township.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay.
MS. LEHENY: And that only applies to this site.

MS. MAZIARZ: And the MU-O zone, what is the FAR that is required in that zone?

MS. LEHENY: So the MU-O permits an FAR of 0.5 and the applicant is proposing an FAR of 0.4 so it's within the 0.5 maximum.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. So, in your opinion, an FAR variance under the D-4 criteria is not necessary?

MS. LEHENY: Yes, I agree with you. It is not necessary.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Thank you.

Finally, there is a -- there was a discussion of a D-6 height variance. This is something that was discussed by Ms. Leheny in her October testimony, but I would like for Ms. Leheny to reiterate what you said in October so we can have a further discussion about another assertion that Mr. Pessolano made with regard to height in a moment. So if you can please give us a summary of your testimony in October.

MS. LEHENY: Very briefly, you know, I don't want to repeat testimony or belabor the point, but my interpretation of the ordinance was consistent with the applicant's and I believe that no height variances are needed.

There were -- yes, so that's essentially it. You know, $I$ certainly went into much more detail in October, but that is the sum total of what I said.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Mr. Pessolano also made mention, and I'm not sure if he really meant this, but he said that he thinks a D-1 variance is required for three stories where a maximum of two and a half stories is

1 permitted because, from what I read in the
2 transcript, a former planner of the Township
3 or of the Board required a D-1 -- I don't
4 recall that actually happening, but required a
5 D-1 of Mr. Kaufman, actually, when he was
6 before the Zoning Board of Adjustment.

11 pointed out that there was a D-1 needed. But
12 I disagreed with that and said so on the
Ms. Leheny, do you recall that testimony?

MS. LEHENY: I do. And, you know, Mr. Pessolano is correct that Mr. O'Brien had record at the public hearing.

And I believe that the resolution should -- you know, and the Zoning Board agreed and I think, Jolanta, you agreed that a D-1 wasn't necessary. And the -- you know, the resolution for that application should reflect that we all came to the conclusion that a D-1 was not necessary contrary to what the previous planner had opined.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. And I would also like to place on the record -- and it is public record already. I can supply a copy to the Board secretary and $I$ will put a copy of

1 this on the record.

22 understands that that was not an issue in
Mr. O'Brien's memorandum dated -- or revised November 22nd, 2019, the applicant

```
1932 Long Hill LLC -- I believe that was
```

Mr. Kaufman's property, correct?

MS. LEHENY: Yes.
MS. MAZIARZ: Liz?
MS. LEHENY: Yes. Yes.
MS. MAZIARZ: In that -- in the
planning summary on the first page, there is a cross-out of a -- "new use variances are required." Mr. O'Brien crossed that out in his November 22 nd planning report and conceded that there was no other use variance required other than the -- I believe it was a D-5 density variance that Mr. Kaufman applied for and also that he obtained during that application.

All of those things are already public record, but we will once again put that up on the Township's website so everyone that -- in that property and in that application or for that property.

And I think the reason why that was
brought up is because Mr. Kaufman's property is also in the Millington section of Long Hill and he had to comply with that zoning ordinance.

And he had to deal with the height situation as well. But in that -- in that height situation, Mr. Kaufman had exceeded the number of stories, is that correct?

MS. LEHENY: Yes. And I think -- my understanding and my practice is, you know, when it comes to a proposal that exceeds the number of stories, it's a C bulk variance and not a D use variance.

MS. MAZIARZ: Correct. Correct.
And that is the way Mr. Kaufman's application was considered, correct?

MS. LEHENY: Correct.
MS. MAZIARZ: And therefore, that really is not germane in this application.

MS. LEHENY: Correct.
MS. MAZIARZ: Because we're dealing with a different zone and we are dealing with a different situation.

MS. LEHENY: Correct.
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Mr. Pessolano

1 also discussed issues regarding the design.
2 And you also covered some of these issues in
3 your testimony in October. 11 again, I don't want to repeat myself too much, 12 but $I$ did mention in my October testimony that 13 when the MU-O ordinance was reviewed by the

14 Planning Board, you know, when it was under 15 consideration by the Township Committee, there

16 were concerns raised about minimizing the 22 know, if they think what is currently proposed

So could you please give the Board just for everyone's -- you know, just a refresher, just a reminder for everyone's edification, just a brief summary of your testimony in October with regard to the design issues?

MS. LEHENY: Right. So, you know, visual impacts of the development on the surrounding community related to buildings, mass and scale.

And this is where I thought the
Board could consider what they think -- you in terms of architecture accomplishes this goal. This is an inclusionary site. It's included in the Fair Share Plan. That does

1 not mean that the project does not have to be 2 aesthetically pleasing. And if it is the

3 Board's wishes, it's not unreasonable to ask 4 the applicant to work with the Board to 5 minimize the appearance of the mass and bulk, 6 make differentiations in the facades, et this request is a decision for the Board.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you.

So based on the foregoing discussion and testimony, Board members, it is our recommendation that you do have jurisdiction over this application. If that is found to -and it is a matter of law. And any time that the Board makes a decision that is based on a matter of law, a reviewing court does not have to defer to your judgment.

It is only our recommendation, and it is our recommendation, that you do have jurisdiction over this application. If you did not have jurisdiction over this application, we would have hoped that somebody would have brought this to our attention in

1 April or May and not in November. But that
2 being said, that is when the objectors decided
3 that they would hire professionals and we are
4 left with this.

5

I think that should be the last word with regard to jurisdiction. If there are any questions for our planner, Mr. Chairman, I would like for you to open any questions to the planner, but they will be questions about planning testimony, not about law because she is a professional planner and not an attorney.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. Thank you, Jolanta. Thank you, Liz, for that.

When you say "open to questions," Jolanta, are you thinking to the public? I see Mr. Simon's hand is up.

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes, the objectors can ask questions, cross-examine, of course, and also members of the public.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you for that.
MS. MAZIARZ: But I'd also like to
just mention because, look, this is our tenth or eleventh hearing. Please, anyone who's going to be asking questions, if they are going to be repetitious, please pay attention

1 to the questions that have already been asked 2 and please do not ask repetitive questions.

11 things. Bob.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you for that.
We'll go to Mr. Simon first.
MR. SIMON: Good evening. Can everyone hear me?

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yes. Thank you,

MR. SIMON: Thank you. A couple

First of all, with regard to legal issues, $I$ will put out to the Chairman at this time, considering that Jolanta gave a legal opinion to the Board as to legal issues, whether it's the Board's decision that they want to entertain contrary legal argument now, contrary legal argument later. Because the point that the Board attorney provides advice to the Board, which the Board attorney, of course, should be doing, does not obviate the right for members of the public who've raised these issues via professional testimony to be precluded from providing contrary or responsive legal argument --

MS. MAZIARZ: Before you go on, I never said that anyone was precluded and I fully expect that you will be giving a summation and I'm sure that you will be also putting your legal opinions on the record. That's all that we can do, Mr. Simon. We can put our legal opinions and recommendations on the record.

So if you have any questions for Ms. Leheny, this is the time for questions.

MR. SIMON: Well, that was my clarification, Jolanta. I just wanted to find out whether the Board wishes, considering these are jurisdictional issues that have been raised, whether the Board wishes that I address them now or I address them later, because I certainly am prepared and would like to address them at some point during the proceeding, certainly. I'm just asking in terms of timing.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. I think that since we're on the eleventh hearing and we waited this long, I think that we can -- I don't think it makes a difference at this point. I mean, this is something we're simply

1 going to put on the record because we're not 2 going to start this all over again. We've 3 come this far.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: So just legally, you say "the summation." So that would be after all the public discussion and Board discussion?

MR. SIMON: Yes. Yes, Mr. Chairman.
MS. MAZIARZ: Yes. At the close -at the close of the objector's case. Because each attorney is going to have an opportunity to make a summation. I'm not going to make a summation. I represent the Board. I advise the Board. But the applicant's attorney and the objector's attorney are going to have an opportunity to each make a final summation before the Board closes, well, the public portion of the hearing and then begins to deliberate and make its decision.

1 appropriate time to do this?

And, Mr. Simon, it's your preference as a matter of interest.

MR. SIMON: No, no, no. It's certainly -- Mr. Chairman, thank you for that, but it's not my preference, in fact, and that's why I directed the question to you as chairman. Because I know, and I'm a Board attorney as well, that boards can handle it in one of two ways: They can address it now because it was raised specifically by the Board attorney now for purposes of jurisdiction or, as Jolanta stated, it could be -- it could be done as part of the summation. It's really up to the Board as to how they want to handle these particular legal issues. That's all. MAYOR RAE: And, Mr. Chairman, I'm fine with Jolanta's recommendation. I would personally go with that. CHAIRMAN HANDS: You mean to leave it to summation points? MS. MAZIARZ: Sure. CHAIRMAN HANDS: That was your recommendation, Jolanta, was it?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes. Look, if we were -- I mean, just for practicality, you know, just for practical purposes, if we were discussing jurisdiction in May, then obviously we would all be putting all of our opinions on the record in the beginning. Before we even started any testimony, any applicant testimony, there would be three lawyers talking about what their opinion is on jurisdiction.

But we are -- it's December at this point, you know. At this point, all we're doing is making a record. We're not going to stop the hearing now. I don't think that the Board or the applicant is in favor at this point of stopping a hearing that's gone on for 11 hearings since May.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: So your
recommendation -- I just wonder if we should
just discuss it and get it out of the way or
just --

MS. MAZIARZ: No, I think a
summation, you know, is -- look, you can --

CHAIRMAN HANDS: That's fine.
MAYOR RAE: I mean, Mr. Chairman, we

1 have our -- we have our legal counsel giving 2 us advice. I mean, I think in the interest of 3 time and moving this along, we should take it 4 and move -- and move forward with the hearing.

5 I mean, the hour's getting late and it is our 6 eleventh meeting. I don't know how everybody 7 else feels, but that's certainly the way I'm 8 looking at it.

MR. SIMON: Frank, all I asked was whether you want to do it now or you want to do it at summation. I don't care which one.

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: Let's leave it to summation. Let's move on.

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes. And thank you, Mayor, for your comments. Just for practicality's sake because we're at the eleventh hearing and we would like to move on, and all we're doing is putting all of this on the record for later, I would suggest that we move on at this point.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: I'm fine with that.
MR. REGAN: Move on.
MS. MAZIARZ: Mr. Jones?
VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Yeah, I second your opinion, as well as the mayor. So...

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: I'd like to propose that we drop back five minutes and start any questioning that anyone has for Ms. Leheny and get those questions out of the way before we go on to summation.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Absolutely. Thank you, Dennis.

Jolanta, any concern about that or
comment?

MS. MAZIARZ: No, that's the ordinary course. I would imagine that that would be the next logical step, is to have Liz answer any questions that anyone may have before she forgets what her testimony was.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: All right. Do we have any questions? I see we have one attendee.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Well, I
don't -- well, Mr. Chairman, I don't know if the Board -- does the Board and/or Mr. Simon have any questions for Liz at this time or -with regard to the testimony?

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Was
anybody -- fine. Board members, any comments or questions on Liz's testimony that we just heard? Again, that's in discussion, the point by point to issues that were raised by Mr. Simon and the planner at the previous meeting.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Chair, I have no questions for the Board planner. I did review all the information that she put out in her original testimony and listened to
the objectors' commentary as well and I have no questions. All the questions that I had were already previously answered. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HANDS: Yes. I, likewise, appreciate, Liz, your testimony to this extent.
Anybody else have any comments?

Okay.
MS. MAZIARZ: Well, questions of Liz.

Liz.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: That was the question.

COORDINATOR COONCE: All right. Seeing none, we will move to the public. First up is Mr. Charles Arentowicz, I'm assuming.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: His hand was up.
MR. ARENTOWICZ: I've got a question on some of the testimony when Jolanta was speaking. So when can I ask that question?

COORDINATOR COONCE: You can ask that now, Mr. Arentowicz.

MR. ARENTOWICZ: Yes. It was

1 mentioned that all these documents were posted 2 on the Town's website in June or July. I'd like to remind the Board that if you look at the applicant's application, that was dated September the 6th, 2019.

I look at all the postings on the website and there was major, major discussions on the New Jersey DEP PA/SI report 2019. We had discussions. It took months to get these documents posted on the website.

And if you look at the dates, there's June, there's July, there's October. So I don't want to mislead the public that when this application was submitted in September of 2019, that all of these documents were posted on the website. That was not the case. And I want to go on the record for that, that someone is not telling the truth here tonight.

Thank you for your time.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Jolanta, do you want to handle that one?

MS. MAZIARZ: Does anyone have any questions for Ms. Leheny?

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you.

3 internet. I'm sorry. It's unstable. It
COORDINATOR COONCE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HANDS: I keep losing my keeps coming back unstable.

MR. REGAN: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make one point. Mr. Chairman, if $I$ could just make one point. Just for the record because it's been stated twice incorrectly. The applicant submitted its application to the Board on November 7th, 2019.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Thank you for that.

MR. REGAN: The first hearing wasn't held June 9th, 2020.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Correct. And the documents -- any documents that were discussed on record were posted at the appropriate times. The information was always available to the public. But by legal, by the Municipal Land Use Law, and Jolanta can confirm this, all documents were posted and available to the public. And the only reason that the documents were on the website this year, the only reason, was because we are in a

1 pandemic. In any other scenario where -- the
2 public needs to be reminded, the documents
3 would not have been posted and you would have
4 needed to OPRA as usual and come into the
5 building to see them.

We put everything online.
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: I think it's also appropriate to point out that the fact that the application came in in late 2019 has nothing to do with whether or not it was complete or noticed for hearing.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: And it was only posted at the website at the point in time when it was noticed for hearing. COORDINATOR COONCE: Correct. That' correct, Mr. Sandow.

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: So there was nothing -- there was nothing being kept from the public. It's just a matter of routine that the application has to be completed and deemed complete and that may take months after the date that's on the application itself.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: That's correct. Thank you, Mr. Sandow.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: And I know the documents were available in Town Hall at an appropriate time and I know people were looking at them.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes. So as we are no longer taking any further procedural questions, does any member of the public have any questions for the testimony that the Board planner, Liz Leheny, gave this evening?

All right. Mr. Arentowicz, I will allow you to talk again, but $I$ will warn you that if you are asking procedural questions, you will be muted.

Yes, Mr. Arentowicz.
MR. ARENTOWICZ: I'm not going to ask any questions. I'm just confused on dates. I read documents. I'm an intelligent person. In September, November, whatever it is. I'm confused.

Thank you very much.
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay.
MS. MAZIARZ: Ms. Coonce, did we complete the questioning of Mr. Pessolano?

That was one of my other questions. I don't believe that we did.

COORDINATOR COONCE: No, we did not.
MS. MAZIARZ: All right.
COORDINATOR COONCE: So any other members of the public with questions for Board planner Liz Leheny?

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Yeah, just one quick comment. The fact that Mr. Arentowicz said someone's lying, nobody's lying.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Correct.
VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Nobody's lying, okay? This is the process we're all going through. Thank you.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Thank you.
All right. So now we will move -no further questions from the public for Ms. Leheny. So we are now moving forward with -- I think, Jolanta, are we ready to have Mr. Pessolano for questioning?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes. Anyone who has questions, I don't believe we completed questioning. So we'll just put the call out there for anyone who may have a question.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: What was the last -- what was the last testimony from -from Mike? Can we just remind ourselves if we were going to questions? What was that last testimony?

MR. SIMON: I believe -- I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. My recollection is that I completed the direct questioning of Mr. Pessolano. I'm not sure if anyone had an opportunity to ask him any questions, whether it was the applicant's attorney or members of the public.

MS. MAZIARZ: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
If there's any Board questions as well -- or we rescinded that to the professionals and they've answered as -- they just testified as they just testified, correct?

COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Are there any questions from the applicant?

MR. REGAN: We have no questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Thank you.

We'll then open up to the public for --

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: Board members. Board members first.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. I thought we just announced our comments will be coming from Liz. But if there's anybody that's got questions, go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: None.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
And the questioning to
Mr. Pessolano, is that outside of the individuals that have been -- that Mr. Simon mentioned he's acting on behalf of or can it include those people as a matter of procedure?

MS. MAZIARZ: I'm sorry, I --
MR. SIMON: Mr. Chairman, if
you're -- and I might have misunderstood the question. If your question is whether a member or someone I'm representing is allowed to ask Mr. Pessolano a question, I believe they are not.

MS. MAZIARZ: No, they're not. They are represented by counsel.

And, Mr. Simon, who do you

1 represent? I don't recall if you put that on 2 the record.

COORDINATOR COONCE: He did.
MS. MAZIARZ: Oh, you did. Okay.
COORDINATOR COONCE: He did. He represents Mr. William Kaufman, John and Emily Caputo, Christina and David Berquist, and Pamela Ogens, all residents of Millington.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
MS. MAZIARZ: Thank you. And those are the individuals who would not be able to question Mr. Pessolano individually. But if there are any other members of the public that do have questions for either planner, please ask now.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: I don't see any hands up, Deb.

COORDINATOR COONCE: I'm not seeing any hands up either.

Again, any members of the public who now have questions of Michael Pessolano.

There being none, Chairman, I think we can move on.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. Are we back to Mr. Simon at this point, Jolanta?

1 Where do we stand procedurally?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.
Mr. Simon, do you have any other witnesses that you'd like to present to the Board?

MR. SIMON: I don't believe that I have any additional witnesses to present to the Board. I believe Mr. Kaufman has already testified previously and obviously Mr. Pessolano testified at the last hearing. MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.

MR. SIMON: I do not believe I do. However, in the Zoom world that we are in, if you'd just indulge me, to the extent that any of my clients that Debra just listed wish to make a statement with regard to the application, $I$ would certainly give them the opportunity to do that.

MS. MAZIARZ: Of course. Well, we haven't gotten to public comments yet. But, yes, all members of the public will be afforded the opportunity to make a final comment on this application. Once all of the testimony has been completed, once the Board has asked the applicant and any objector

1 professionals all of the questions that the 2 Board wishes to ask, then we will close the 3 public portion of the hearing -- not the 4 public portion, but the testimony portion of 5 the hearing and allow for public commentary 6 before we close the public portion or the 7 public testimony portion completely and then 8 the Board will enter into deliberations.

1 been seeing transcripts that have been posted.
2 So I know that the Board members have been
3 diligently perusing and reading through these
4 transcripts and readying themselves and
5 preparing for the conclusion of this
6 application.

9 this puzzle, I know it has been many hearings,
10 but if there are any concerns or questions
11 that you have, you should bring them up now
12 while the applicant and the objector and your

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Do you want to have an initial discussion on any aspect of the testimonies we've heard throughout the number of meetings that Jolanta mentioned?

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Chair, why don't you go ahead and start with your questions first and then the rest will start

1 to opine.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
I think obviously top of my mind right now because it's most current is the conversation around Mr. Kaufman's suggestion and the offer initially from the applicant about architectural design and how far we are able to have that discussion. Initially it was started as a discussion around architectural flavor, for want of a better word, versus site plan adjustment.

I'd like to see what our thoughts are on that. So, again, the application -this is towards the end of the discussion, before these comments were made, and certainly on, you know, two aspects: To define the architectural conversation versus the more substantial thought, thoughts out, I should also add, suggestions on this site, and adjustments could be along Stone House, Division Avenue, the retail building. Basically the surrounding aspects of the site that have more relevancy perhaps to the residents of Millington and the town. I'd like to see where the Board's

1 thoughts are on those aspects.

6 you.

11 are identical is a concern for me, as I've
12 spoken in the past. And listening to many of

22 opportunities to be flexible and really make
I very much want to see a Millington Village look and feel to the property. I would love to work with the applicant to help construct that. I think there's an excellent opportunity to change ridgelines and put in gables and dormers. Going with the natural sloping of the property itself, there may be it a beautiful place.

And that's what $I$ want. That's what I would like to see. If you really read into

1 the ordinances, it starts to talk about the 2 front of the building shouldn't even look the 3 same as the front of another building.

4 Windows shouldn't be in the same spot, doors 5 shouldn't be in the same spot and so forth. 6 So when you start looking at that, it really 7 starts changing the dynamic.

But I want to work with the applicant to say, okay, let's come up with something that makes it look like part of the building. So you have this harmony as you come down Division Road, crossing over the railroad tracks, and there you see a village. There are still some other eyesores elsewhere in the town. We're not going to talk about that. I know some people have brought that up. But here's an opportunity that we can take this piece of property and make something that we can all be proud of. And that's come from several questionnaires. That's come from many of the people listed here. We have 22 people in attendance this evening and $I$ see some new names in there. And I think they're saying the same things. It's even some of the stuff

1 I've read in the newspaper. They want to see 2 a village there. That's what our master plan 3 is. That's what the surveys have been talking 4 to, so that's what we should be working on.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Well, they're not going to stick a shovel in the ground any time soon because they're dependent on the sewer system and that whole thing, right?

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: I understand that, but the applicant is looking for approval on a site plan. So how do we -- how do we accomplish that? And I'm opening that up to the applicant, the applicant's attorney, our attorney. How do we accomplish that? Do we do that with a condition of approval on a resolution or what's the mechanics? Because obviously we're not going to go back to square one and start with a new site plan.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Oh, no.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: So how do we accomplish what Chairman Jones, Vice-Chairman Jones, has suggested? How do we do that?

MS. MAZIARZ: Well, Mr. Pfeil, yes. The answer to your question is, yes, you are correct. It is a condition of approval. And

I believe that either a month or two ago, this
8 was very briefly discussed. And I believe
9 that the applicant at that time -- and
Mr. Regan can correct me if I'm wrong -- was willing to -- was willing to concede that they would agree to a condition of approval that would require a number of Board members to -and Board professionals to work with the applicant's architect and with the applicant to make a more aesthetically pleasing development.

Now, I don't think that we went very far in discussing the details of such a condition because I think that a condition like that, both, you know, for the Board and the Township as well as the applicant really has to be very clear and has to give direction to both the applicant and the professionals and the Board that are going to be ultimately

1 working with the applicant on those
2 architectural plans.

And I don't think that we ever got into very many specifics. So I think what you're asking at this point, can we do it? Yes. Can we consider it? Yes. I think the applicant has already agreed to consider it, but then we have to have a discussion. The Board needs to have a discussion with the applicant and determine what the parameters are going to be and what that is going to look like and if that is acceptable to the Board.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Shouldn't that -- shouldn't that discussion take place this evening?

MS. MAZIARZ: Right now.
Absolutely. I mean --

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Good. Good.
MS. MAZIARZ: -- this is something
--
BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Let's have the discussion -
(Indiscernible cross talk; reporter requests one speaker.)

MR. REGAN: If I might,

1 Mr. Chairman, on behalf of the applicant.
2 Obviously the applicant made that offer a few
3 meetings ago and, obviously, Mr. Fourniadis is
4 here and he can address that if it's
5 necessary. But at this stage, the applicant
6 has presented an application, you know, to the
7 Board, as has been said, through ten hearings.
8 The applicant is not redesigning this project.

10 buildings. There's townhomes behind Barrett
11 Lumber that are identical. Mr. Sandow pointed
12 out that there are other multifamily
13 dwelling -- multifamily projects that have
14 been approved in the township that are
15 identical.

1 elevations, but I don't know that the
2 applicant is even inclined to do that.

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: Well, can we hear from the applicant?

MR. FOURNIADIS: Sure. Eleven
meetings. We had a TRC meeting. We had three rounds with your professionals. We addressed all their concerns. We were deemed complete.

9 We came here in June and, you know, our application was submitted 13 months ago.

After 11 meetings and what we've gone through here, I just don't see how we could come up with anything -- we had a -- we had a rule book. We have the rules of the game. It's called your zoning ordinance. And we complied with it. All of your professionals agreed we complied with it.

If there was something, if you had an architectural review committee provision in the ordinance, I'm sure we would have dealt with it last February, but you don't. And based on what's transpired over the past 11 months and the fact that I'm fairly certain that no matter what we put in front of the public, they're not going to like it because

1 they don't want this project. And I don't 2 need a petition or e-mails to reach that 3 conclusion.

I just don't see how we could

16 have to market these. We have to rent them.

I guess I naively thought that if we

1 came in here and demolished a
2 200,000-square-foot 80-year-old eyesore and
3 built something that is sold all over the
4 state with great success, you know, I might
5 get a fair shot, and hopefully I will. But I
6 have spent six years in and around Millington
7 looking at the homes, looking at the buildings
8 surrounding the property.

11 building. You have an old Cumberland Farms.
12 You have a two-, three-story brick home that

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you for that.
Just to recant a little bit if I

1 might.

2

11 hate to go -- leave that as a -- as a concern
12 in your mind that anybody hates this -- this
BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: Thank you, Bob.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.
I don't think -- my sentiment is and my feeling, I guess from public conversation, that is questions center -- I don't think there's an argument against necessarily the -the property development. I don't think it's a -- I don't think you can make that -- I'd proposal or what's leading up to this time. That's not the statement I take away from the conversations that we've had. I think anybody looking at this appreciates there's an opportunity to improve the town -- Dennis, I'll come to you in one second, if you don't mind. I'll come to you. Dennis, one second.

I think anybody appreciates the opportunity to improve Millington. And I take your point. The question is, you know, improve it into -- what's the look and feel of an improvement? And that's why I certainly appreciate Mr. Kaufman's thoughts and actual

1 detailed considerations as to what that could 2 look like.

3
4

And I, for one, happen to like the design. Now, whether it's just the design elements or it's more of a site plan reshuffle, if you will, that's two different stories. But for me, I don't think I have to look much further beyond that to provide a reference as to how I would either state the architectural design or a site adjustment consideration. But for me, I don't have to go much beyond that, those plans, as Mr. Kaufman laid out, because I thought those are some things there that --

MR. FOURNIADIS: Mr. Chairman, if I could respond to that. Look, you can't design something by committee. Everybody has an opinion. As I've said before, we were here four years ago with a plan that everybody who showed up to the firehouse hated and all of a sudden people show up objecting here.

Look, Mr. Kaufman had a design. He had a vision for the property. Mr. Caputo put up some pictures. He had a vision for the property. I'm sure if we paraded three or

1 four, five, six architects in front of the 2 Board, they would each have a vision for the 3 property.

4 This is an inclusionary affordable
5 housing project designed to comply in every
6 aspect with your ordinance. And if you didn't
7 like the plan, I sure wish you had told me
8 back in February when we had our first TRC
9 committee.

11 Stone House Road. Originally the exit on
12 Stone House Road was further west and there
13 was concern about the steep slopes and
14 everything else and we were asked to reduce
15 the slope of the road and move it to the
16 middle of the property on Stone House Road.
17 Mr. Lanzafama will remember that. It was his
18 suggestion. And we implemented it.
the property next to Stone House Road for
months and months. And to bring it up now because a few people showed up and had some better ideas as to how I should develop my property, I can't go on anymore. You either have to give me an approval or deny me or give

1 me an approval and let Mr. Simon appeal. And 2 at least when you go to court, there's rules 3 that are followed and we can bring this thing 4 to closure.

On October 13th, Mr. Martell was asked about the fill and leveling and grading the lot. And what Mr. Martell answered was "safety, use, and enjoyment of the property."

Well, those are, quite frankly, rather arbitrary reasons for bringing in

25,000 cubic yards of fill. 25,000 cubic yards of fill represents about 1200 20-ton dump trucks or one every hour five days a week for about seven months. That's an awful lot of fill. It is far, far, far above any other

1 project that has had site plan approval
2 recently.

3 course.

MR. FOURNIADIS: Okay. A property like this, with the density that you have here and the yield that's permitted by the zoning ordinance and the types of buildings that we're putting here, you can't -- and I've developed a lot of projects like this. I've gotten over 10,000 lots approved in this state in my 30-plus years in this business.

If you tried to develop this property to fit the existing slopes of this property without any fill, it would be impossible to achieve the density that you have here. You would lose your garages; you

1 would lose your driveways. There would be a 2 sea of parking and it may not even be 3 sufficient parking. That was one of the

4 concerns of your professionals and we went
5 back and we redesigned the site to create more 6 parking.

7 And it just wouldn't be conducive to 8 the type of housing that you want to do here. 9 This is a multifamily project. If you look at 10 apartment projects, this is how they're

11 developed. You try and flatten out the site
12 to make it feasible for people to be able to

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: Well, as a

1 matter of fact, the ordinance does require 2 that a fill permit be granted for any fill 3 over a certain amount, a couple of inches. 4 The Board, in approving this site plan, 5 automatically grants a fill permit, but the 6

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay. Do you want to comment or do you have a question?

MR. FOURNIADIS: No.
MR. REGAN: It was a comment.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: All right. Any other discussion points, questions on this subject from the Board?

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Yeah, Mr.
Chairman. Just to comment on other developments that look the same. My only response to that is what was done before may not have been done right the first time. That's why I keep bringing up the changing of the ridgelines, putting in gables. Just doing those cosmetic exterior changes can give us the village look that we're looking for.

MR. FOURNIADIS: And if I may, Vice Chair, I'm willing to do that, but, like I said before, how do I know that I'm not going to spend the next 12 months moving gables and ridgelines around? Because you may like something, Chairman Hand doesn't like it, Mr. Simon's clients don't like it, and then we're here in December of 2021 arguing over gables and ridgelines.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: That's the mechanism to try and work. Right? Everybody's got an opinion and we'll never get over the finish line unless we decide what it

1 is. Right?
2 So for me, I've already expressed
3 what I'm looking for and I think that is the
4 consensus of most people. So there's your
5 majority. We're never going to get a hundred
6 percent, so I'm not even trying to attain that
7 goal. My goal is to just make it so when I
8 look over there, I'm proud of what's over
9 there.
10
11 been in the town for the last six years and
12 you've looked around. You've got the
13 knowledge, absolutely got the knowledge. And
14 I'm not crediting -- I'm not discrediting the
1530 years of work that you've done in this
16 industry. I'm just looking at that piece for
17 when I come riding by on my bike when I'm
18 training and I look over there and I'm happy
19 with what I'm seeing over there.
MR. REGAN: Mr. Jones, may I ask you
21 a question? Would you be happy if the
22 building stayed there as it was? Because 23 that's an option.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Don't bring up the existing building in there. I don't

1 like the existing building. That's why I want
2 to work with Mr. Fourniadis.

MAYOR RAE: You know, I think, you know, Tom, I get it, right, but we had this conversation a number of meetings ago whenever --

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I've been consistent.

MAYOR RAE: I'm sorry?
VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I've been consistent with what I've been asking for.

MAYOR RAE: Oh, no. Yeah. No, I'm not disputing the consistency of your position, Tom. It's just the fact that it sounds good to talk about working with the applicant to get these things done. And I think if there was any simple way to do it, I think Mr. Fourniadis has said on several occasions that he's certainly open to it.

But I think we had a long discussion the last time we talked about it and we had, you know, a kind of larger discussion on what "tweak" means, right?

But really the sum and substance of it is to leave -- for the applicant to leave

1 themselves open to such an open-ended
2 obligation to work with, it's just -- it's
3 just not feasible.

5 right now, and I think -- and Mr. Fourniadis
6 can, you know, correct me if I'm wrong -- he
7 stated that we have an application, it's here,
8 and he wants us to vote on it. And the time
9 for changing it architecturally, as much as
10 anybody would like to do it, that ship has
11 sailed; and, you know, we have what we have in
12 front of us.
And if we want to get something done

And, Mr. Fourniadis, I don't know if
I've misrepresented your position. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

MR. FOURNIADIS: No, Mayor, you represented it perfectly. In a perfect world, as I said, if we had this conversation in

1 February, I would have come in with a couple 2 different options and then we could have 3 talked about them. But I've been here 11

4 months -- 13 months since we filed the 5 application. I'm almost sitting myself, here, 6 you know, doing numbers on the side here that 7 does it make more sense to just, you know, 8 rent out the rest of the space at $\$ 4$ a square 9 foot instead of having to spend another 11 10 months on this application, which right now 11 looks like it's going nowhere.

12 So like I said, if there was a rule
13 book -- you know, the inside cover of the
14 Monopoly game, it has the rules, this is what
15 we have to follow, which is what we've done up
16 until this point, I'd be happy to do that. think we're here next Christmas. The only difference would be hopefully it would be in person instead of over Zoom. And that's not just conjecture. But the witness has gone. If I agreed to a condition that we would design -- redesign the elevations subject to the Board's approval, I Remember, I've been through this twice now. We presented plans four years ago that I

1 thought were beautiful and nobody liked them.
2 And then we did it again and nobody liked
3 them. So what makes me think the third time
4 people are going to like them? Nothing.
5 VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Fourniadis
6 , I liked the first plans.
7 MR. FOURNIADIS: I'm sorry?
VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I liked the
first plans.

MR. FOURNIADIS: Why didn't you give
me the zoning in 2014 then?
VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I wasn't on
the Board. Mr. Mayor, just to comment on tweaking, I don't tweak.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: I'd like to
make just an observation --
MAYOR RAE: Thanks for that clarification.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: We have an application before this Board that's conforming to the ordinance, to the zoning. The Planning Board established the conditions on that site. The Township Committee adopted that ordinance. We have an overlay zone and this application meets the conditions put

1 forth in that overlay zone.

It would be nice if the applicant would be willing to change facades, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, but there's nothing in the ordinance that compels him to do it. And we've got to keep that in mind. This is a permitted use and the application is conforming.

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: Mr. Chairman, we're still waiting for the summaries from the two attorneys.

Are we ready for that now?
BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: No. I think we need to hear from the public first.

MS. MAZIARZ: Right. We haven't had public comment yet.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: So, I'm sorry, just one -- I'd like to comment on Mr. Pfeil. We still have the master plan that we have to abide by as well.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: I understand that, but you have an ordinance that was passed in light of the existing master plan. You have a condition here that is based on a court settlement to provide affordable

1 housing. And that's a very, very important 2 point that shouldn't be, you know, 3 underestimated in this.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: I've not lost sight of that either.

MAYOR RAE: I'm sorry. I'll just add one more thing. I mean, a couple meetings ago, I think it was a few meetings ago, Mr. Fourniadis said "I'll work with you. Come to me in two -- you've got two weeks. Come to me with what you're thinking." And I don't think -- I don't think anybody came to him with -- well, maybe apart from -- apart from you, Tom. But, I mean, I don't think -- there was nothing substantive certainly that the Board then discussed at that subsequent meeting with Mr. Fourniadis.

You know, I really believe that Mr. Fourniadis has tried to work with us over the course of these 11 meetings, right, and should be commended for doing so. And at this point, you know, certainly the way things have gone, I completely agree with Mr. Fourniadis that he -- that he really, you know, doesn't have any indication that, you know, we would

1 be able to bring this to a close if he were to 2 agree to work with us on any of the -- you

3 know, any of the issues that we've described
4 because it would just be more of the same and
5 this would be -- you know, I think it's
6 optimistic to think that we'd be done by this
7 time next year. It might very well be longer.

1 challenge ourselves a bit on some aspects of 2 it, right. I know, for me, I thought

3 Mr. Kaufman's -- the design aspects and put
4 aside the other things for a moment. If you
5 asked me what I would look for, I thought he
6 captured some good architectural designs. So
7 for me, I don't have to go much beyond that.
8 And he's in a better position and I'm not
9 trying to -- I'm just saying. I'm not the
10 architectural person. I wouldn't be asked to
11 give a professional opinion. I thought he
12 captured it well.
So if you ask me, rather than
14 committees and making this an 11-month
15 situation, which I so hope we'll be able to
16 finish, but I think there's an opportunity
17 still just to move that through that thought.
18 And I would think if that was acceptable,
19 that's a quick discussion whether that be in separate as a condition or what have you, I don't see it belaboring the point beyond giving that one opportunity to do some architectural and that's it.

MAYOR RAE: With all do respect,

1 applicant. We have an application that he's
2 happy with. He's moving ahead. As I said,
3 he's been very reasonable, tried to work with
4 us. We've given him no indication that we can
5 bring this thing over the finish line no
6 matter what we agree as far as, you know,
7 tweaking the design of it.

11 next section of it and, you know, as I say,
12 hopefully bring this to, you know -- 11's a

22 having to tweak -- I hate to use that word -charm and get this done.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: This isn't to
belabor the point. This is to give us one opportunity just to take up Mr. Fourniadis on his offer as well in a more practical manner and that's it. We don't need to make this more -- and I absolutely agree. Prism's been very accommodating and very respectful. That's never the issue. The opportunity is to make some adjustments. And for me, what was presented was more than good enough as a design layout, an opportunity to make some

1 modifications and that's it. And I won't 2 go...

But, Vic, did you want to say something? You're on mute.

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: You're muted.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Vic, do you want to unmute? Victor.

BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: I'm having some technical difficulties tonight with my Zoom screen, background noise. I'm thinking about dropping the meeting and then rejoining. And all I wanted to say is I agree with the mayor. I think we need to get moving. I think we're all in agreement. This has been like one long filibuster.

ChAIRMAN HANDS: My only point --
BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: That's fine. This is not to belabor the point. This is to take the opportunity to have a separate discussion. That's it.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Just one
further observation. Mr. Kaufman does not own the property. Prism partners owns the property. It's their application.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Understood. I'll just take him up on his suggestion. That's all.

Okay. Dennis, did you have any
final comments on this architectural discussion?

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: I would like to move on to the public comments so that we can get the attorneys' wrap-ups and then go into a discussion which we should have at the end which we seem to have been having for the past half hour. Let's get the public in here.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Mr. Chairman, may I suggest, based upon the court reporter and Board members, that we have a break, a quick break before -- it is nine o'clock? -before we go into public comments?

CHAIRMAN HANDS: That will be fine. 9:10 then. Eight minutes?

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: Good.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Very good. Thank you, all.

BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: Deb, how many people are lined up for public comments?

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Two.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Two.
BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: More than that.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: There was two. All right. Ten -- 9:10.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Hey, Tom, if you just mouse over the screen, down at the bottom, you'll see, like, attendants, attendees, participants. If you click on that, it opens up a chat --

BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: Okay.
VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: And at the
top, you have panelists, you see next to that attendees, and you'll see all 23 there and then their hands are raised.

Do you see it, Tom?
BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: I see it, but I don't see hands up.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Do you see panelists and attendees? Click on attendees. There should be --

BOARD MEMBER MALINOUSKY: Okay. Now I see them. All right. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: No worries.
(Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay.
Recording resumed.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Deb.
Hopefully he'll be back here in a second.

But what we said was we'll take public comments.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Mr. Chairman,
if I might, I think we should have Jolanta discuss the next -- now what's about to happen, go into the details and the procedures about what the public -- you know, the statements entail.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. Thank you.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Jolanta.
MS. MAZIARZ: Sorry. I was muted.
This next portion of the hearing before we get into attorney summations that will be provided by the applicant's attorney and Mr. Simon, the objector's attorney, now we will have a public comment.

The Board will require the public to

1 limit their comments to three minutes. The 2 only reason we do this is because it is now 3 9:15 in the evening, almost 9:15, and my 4 understanding is that there are a number of 5 members of the public that will wish to make 6 comments.

8 Please keep them with regard to this
9 application. And please do not repeat
10 comments that you have already heard. If you
11 agree with someone's comments, it's sufficient
12 to say that you agree with someone's comments.
13 You don't have to repeat an entire comment.
14 Make your own comments and please limit them. So that's it.

COORDINATOR COONCE: And I will give a 30-second warning during the comments. And once the three minutes is up, I'll note it and then we will mute.

MS. MAZIARZ: And before we get into
it, just because I know the first question will be, why three minutes? Because the public has already had an opportunity to put testimony on the record. Testimony obviously was unlimited. Public was allowed to say and

1 ask questions and testify with regard to any
2 matter related to this application and it was
3 for an unlimited amount of time.

4
5 everybody ready to begin? Okay.

First we will hear from Pamela Ogens.

Pam, can you hear us?
MS. OGENS: Yes, I can. Can you hear me?

COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes, ma'am.
MS. OGENS: Okay. I will try to talk quickly.

Mr. Simon, is it -- do I really have to be limited to three minutes? Can I get another opportunity for an additional three

1 minutes since $I$ was denied my three minutes at 2 a prior hearing?

MR. SIMON: Mr. Chairman, to address Ms. Ogens' comments. So she is a client of mine. And I always take the position that notwithstanding the fact that it is, quote/unquote, public comment, to the extent that there are neighbors that provide factual information that should have bearing on the determination of this Board, then certainly I do not believe that those type of -- that type of factual testimony should be limited to three minutes.

MS. MAZIARZ: Mr. Simon, factual testimony -- the Board asked for factual testimony, sworn testimony, for the last ten hearings. We asked again today if anyone had any further testimony and you rested. We asked if there were any more witnesses.

MR. SIMON: Wait a second. I
specifically stated in this hearing -- first of all -- first of all, let me back up.

It is completely inaccurate to state that the public has had an opportunity to testify for 10,11 hearings. That is not

```
true.
```

```
    Number two, I specifically raised
the issue of having clients of mine provide
information earlier this evening. If the
Board's taking the position that despite my
commentary and reserving that right, that my
clients are not having the opportunity to say
what they want to say -- and you can certainly
swear them in, to the extent that they are
residents of this community that are providing
```

factual testimony, then they should be
allotted more than three minutes since we've
already argued --
MS. MAZIARZ: I understand, and
without a speech. So you haven't rested. So
you have additional witnesses. If you have
additional witnesses, we'll swear them and
then you can question them on the record. I'm
okay with that. I asked if you would like to
do that.
Mr. Chairman, apparently the
objectors are not finished putting their
record -- putting their testimony on the
record.
So I would suggest to you, Chairman,

1 that we reopen that part of the hearing
2 because the objectors have not rested. They
3 still want to give sworn testimony with regard
4 to this application.
5 CHAIRMAN HANDS: Let me just ask --

6

MS. MAZIARZ: I'm okay with that,
but --

CHAIRMAN HANDS: That's fine.
MR. REGAN: We're not. We object. They've been given the opportunity.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Let me just find out first from Mr. Simon, how many people do you know that you would call to potentially testify?

MR. SIMON: As I stated, Mr. Chairman, earlier this evening, I asked at the appropriate time that $I$ would ask my clients, quote/unquote, whether they would like to speak with regard to this application.

I know Mr. Kaufman has already spoken.

MS. MAZIARZ: He has testified. Mr. Kaufman gave sworn testimony and exhibits, yes.

1 asked Mr. Simon a question. Please finish.

MR. SIMON: Whether the Caputos or the Berquists want to speak, I can ask them now or, Mr. Chairman, you can ask them publicly, and if they want to speak, they should have an opportunity to speak and they can be sworn in and I can ask them questions.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. This is the appropriate opportunity.

Do you have any more witnesses to present to the Board?

MR. SIMON: Okay. So Ms. Ogens would like to speak. Can $I$ present her and have her sworn, please?

MS. MAZIARZ: Mr. Jones.
VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Yes, sorry, Mr. Chair. Ms. Ogens has spoken at almost every meeting. She has given testimony at almost every meeting. CHAIRMAN HANDS: I will -- not to argue the point beyond this, I know one meeting Pam was cut off when we went to end of the meeting quickly. And I thought it appropriate, and Pam asked for three minutes to be six minutes. I would suggest we allow

1 Pam six minutes and that's it.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay.
MR. REGAN: Then how can you give her six minutes and nobody else six minutes? MAYOR RAE: David, I'm sorry, I think we're not -- it seems to me that we're not on public -- we're not on public comment right now, right? We're actually -- there's going to be the testimony of witnesses. Ms. Ogens is one of them. MS. MAZIARZ: Yes.

MAYOR RAE: So the three-minute rule is out the window, but -- as we stated for public comment. And so let's just to move this along, Jolanta agrees, let's move right into testimony so that we're not delayed anymore.

MS. MAZIARZ: And because -- look, I
haven't memorized every transcript for the last ten hearings. I don't recall whether Ms. Ogens was testifying or asking questions and was cut off. It might have been the former, not the latter. I'm not really sure.

But, Ms. Ogens, were you sworn during the previous hearing?

MS. OGENS: Am I on? Can you hear me?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yeah. Are you under oath or were you put under oath?

MS. OGENS: I am not giving testimony. I want to give comment.

MR. FOURNIADIS: There you go.
MS. OGENS: Prior to this, I thought we were on comment. At prior meetings, I asked questions of the experts who had been sworn in. I have not had opportunity to give chronologically what has happened on my end and also to make general comments.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay.
MS. OGENS: That was my
understanding, that $I$ would have the opportunity to do that tonight.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Ms. Coonce, how many people are on this Zoom call that are waiting to make public comments? That's the only reason we're limiting this, because we will be having public comments for another three hearings if we don't limit them. And we limit them at our regular meetings as well.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Well, I know

1 we're up to six.

3

4

MS. MAZIARZ: If the Board is amenable to increasing that time frame, that is up to the Board. Because we have six people. We don't have the 20 we were expecting. So the Board can increase that time frame for public comment. That is up to the Board.

We limited it in anticipation of a great number of public appearing only because we want to limit that time because the public already has had an opportunity to testify and this is just comment, not testimony.

So that's the reason for the limitation. But if the Board would like to extend that time, that's up to the Board.

MAYOR RAE: Just as far as I'm concerned, it's comment, not filibuster. And I've seen that work. So I would like to keep it to three minutes. You should be able to say everything that you want to say in three minutes.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: All I was giving was the opportunity for one person to speak for six. That was it. I didn't say anything

1 about anybody else. Mr. Simon is talking
2 about -- so we're going to hold off on the
3 testimony from your folks.

5 have -- I'm sorry to interrupt you, but we
6 don't have testimony by the sounds of it.
7 We're in public comment and the time factor
8 for that is -- none of Mr. Simon's clients
9 seem to want to testify, right, but they do
10 want to comment. So we're in public comment.
11 And we can go into our discussion and
12 hopefully have a vote tonight.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: That's what I just said if you let me finish. I want to make sure there's nobody out there with testimony. Maybe go back five minutes, I'm just going to offer Pam the courtesy, Pam only, to speak for six minutes.

MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Well, the issue is if you allow one person to speak for six minutes, it's not fair to limit others to a different time frame. Everyone gets the same amount of time to speak.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: That was only
because of a holdover from the previous

1 meeting. That was the courtesy. If that's 2 not a courtesy that can be given, then, fine, 3 we'll go to three minutes.

MS. MAZIARZ: If -- if the Board would like, if after everyone has had their three minutes, if the Board would entertain another three minutes from members of the public that are present today after everyone has had their chance to speak -- we want all the members of the public to get an opportunity because it simply isn't fair to allow some people to speak and others not. We're trying to limit it so that everyone has an opportunity. So once we're through with everyone, if there's still time, the Board can certainly bring people back up if there's something else they want to comment on.

But I agree with Mayor Rae with his comment regarding filibustering. We can't hold this over indefinitely because people are going to keep coming up with different comments that they'd like to make.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Absolutely. And that we will not allow.

Thank you. So let's go with that

1 compromise, Jolanta. Let's start off with the
2 three minutes and see where we end up after
3 the first round of conversation.

11 Hands. meeting.

MS. OGENS: Thank you, Chairman

Just a statement to start with. We are in unchartered territory. Zoom meetings have been challenging. This is an unusual situation and $I$ would like all to bear in mind that that is a contributing factor to the fact that this is our tenth, eleventh, whatever

I'd like to start by saying that between January 28 th and August 18 th of this year -- and I say August 18th because that was the first time that the applicant's architect testified -- eight of the 14 scheduled Planning Board meetings were canceled. That was 42 percent of the meetings were canceled

1 during that period. And, yes, that did factor 2 into the number of meetings and the delay for 3 the period since they were canceled during 4 that period of time.

So I did bring this up in February of 2020 .

And as of December 8th, as of today, this is the first time the public has been permitted to comment. Yes, we could --

COORDINATOR COONCE: Thirty seconds.
MS. OGENS: We could ask questions.
I'll make it as fast as I can.
Is that three minutes or six
minutes, Debra?
COORDINATOR COONCE: Three minutes.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Pam, if you could just finish your quick sentence.

MS. OGENS: All right. My
perception of these hearings has been one of thinly disguised contempt. I feel that there has been sarcasm. There has been annoyance when questions from the public have been asked. I sense that in inflections. I've seen that in facial expressions. And I even think I have seen Board members sleeping during these hearings.

There's been a feeling of superiority, that this is a done deal. I want to remind the Planning Board members, this is

1 your legacy. This is our town.

11 have seen the plans from Mr. Kaufman. We see 12 what it can look like. This is our legacy.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Three minutes, 30 seconds.

MS. OGENS: And with all due respect, Chairman Hands, I know you love Long Hill. You have said it to me. We are both passionate about this area.

Are you going to really be able to go past that site and know that we didn't do all we could? This is not a village feel. We We need to deny this application --

COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. I'm sorry. That was four minutes, Chairman. So I'm sorry, Pam, I cut you off. If the Board wishes for you to continue, then I will restart -- I will allow you to talk again but...

CHAIRMAN HANDS: I do actually think Pam was just in her last couple of words there. So please let her continue.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. Go ahead, Pam. You can finish. Pam?

MS. OGENS: I urge you to deny this

1 application as it has been submitted.
2 Violation of the architectural design
3 standards Ordinance 135, the uniformity of
4 architectural design of appearance. I agree
5 that these ordinances were not written
6 ideally, but certainly we have never had a
7 complex this large, this large, before the
8 Planning Board and it deserves additional
9 attention --

10 CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. MS. OGENS: -- and additional time. CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Pam. Thank you. Thank you, Pam. I think you got to your point. Thank you.

Next, Deb.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. Next we have, I'm not sure if it's Christina. The last name is Berquist, so I'm not sure which Berquist.

Christina?
MS. BERQUIST: Yes. This is
Christina Berquist.
COORDINATOR COONCE: All right,
Christina. We can hear you. Go ahead.
MS. BERQUIST: I wasn't sure of

1 your -- okay. Yeah, hi, I'm Christina
2 Berquist. My husband and I, we've been living 3 in Millington for almost 20 years. We do love 4 this community.

5 And I know -- I know you do, too,
6 members of the Planning Board, because you
7 live here and you've dedicated hours and hours
8 of your time to this town. I know you
9 willingly took on this responsibility and you
10 knew you were going to have to make difficult
11 decisions representing our community as a
12 whole. And I truly want to thank you for your
13 time and effort. I know this has been a long
14 meeting. It's been many late hours. I thank
15 you for your time and your effort and the
16 commitment to our community.

22 as it currently stands, this project, this

25 these concerns are adequately being addressed.

The very size of this project alone is a concern. You know that it will not only change, drastically change, really, the face of Millington and, you know, also with regard to appearance, traffic, schools. You know, we've talked about an influx of -- I think it was over 100 school children potentially to our school system. And also the safety.

But more importantly for me, the project, you know, it poses a serious safety concern due to its unique location alone. It's on an EPA Superfund site, one of the most polluted sites in the entire country. And for me, the developer and his paid experts don't seem to have addressed these concerns adequately.

In fact, there's been many meetings that they've been quite dismissive of our legitimate concerns. And what underscores this problem, as Pam has also just pointed out right before, is the loud manner in which the applicant commonly conducts himself.

Mr. Fourniadis is seeking approval of our town and yet he commonly mocks our town and the residents who it represents. It's

1 come up before and, you know, accommodating, 2 respectful, or reasonable really would not be 3 words that have come to my mind when I think 4 of this applicant. Quite frankly, most 5 nights, and the only exception to this have 6 been the last two meetings, Mr. Fourniadis's 7 manner of speaking would not be acceptable in 8 any other business. In school, we would call 9 that bullying. This kind of behavior is not 10 representative of someone who has the best

11 interests of our community in mind, but
12 someone who cares about his own interests.
13 Which he should. I understand that he is a

14 businessman, but he does not have our
15 long-term interest of the community, which,
16 incidentally --

COORDINATOR COONCE: Ms. Berquist, that's the three-minute mark. So please wrap it up.

MS. BERQUIST: I mean, you said a warning at 30 seconds, right?

COORDINATOR COONCE: Well, we went a little over for Pam. So all's I'm saying is --

MS. BERQUIST: Oh, is this my
$1 \quad 30$-second warning?

11 You know, and moreover, the unpronounceable 12 toxins in this site.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Ten seconds.
MS. BERQUIST: The potential health benefits and health effects that you can unleash in this community, those kinds of things don't show up in the next two years, but 10, 15 years from now when Mr. Fourniadis and members of the Planning Board aren't going to be sitting here anymore. And I don't want

1 to have to sit here one day and say to my
2 children, I'm sorry for what you're going
3 through, but I chose to take this risk to stay
4 in this wonderful neighborhood. I didn't know
5 this was going to happen.

12 the Board want me to allow her to continue or
13 are we going to cut -- what are we going to do?

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Let's go as we proposed. We'll cycle through one time. I think we got the sentiment from Christina with her very passionate comments.

BOARD MEMBER FALVEY: Let's move on.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Berquist. Okay.

Next we have Trish Schmitt.
MS. SCHMITT: Yes, I'm here.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Are you there?
MS. SCHMITT: Yes.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Could you
please state your name and spell it for the record? I don't believe we have you in the record.

MS. SCHMITT: No, you don't. My first name is Patricia. Last name is Schmitt, S-C-H-M-I-T-T.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. And are you in Millington? Sterling? Where are --

MS. SCHMITT: I'm in Millington off
Long Hill Road at the top of the hill from the train station, from the Millington train station.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Thank you. Go ahead.

MS. SCHMITT: In fact, Mayor Rae is my neighbor across the street.

Seventeen years ago we wanted to add 4 feet to our kitchen. We had to send a letter to the entire neighborhood as to why we needed a variance for 4 feet onto our -- our house. And we had to make everyone in the neighborhood aware that this was going to be something of an exception to the general rules and the plan book and the rule book.

22 this community. And all I've been hearing
And it has been so hard to extract or disseminate this information of what's been going on with these hearing, with this town, and with this development. And I have to say I can barely pull out of my street anymore on to Long Hill Road without nearly getting sideswiped by a car coming up that hill.

This whole project -- and, granted, it's going through and that's fine, but between that and the environmental concerns and how hard it's been to get information out to the public about it has been ridiculous and shameful.

Vice-Chairman Jones and Chairman
Hands have both said very eloquently here that this is something worth considering. We're going to make the project go forward despite everyone's -- despite everyone's protests; but at least, for God's sake, we're the people who are living here for 20 some odd years, raising families and putting our heart and sole into about is complaints that someone had to sit through 10 or 11 meetings.

I'm sorry, I put 20 years into this

1 neighborhood and this is my community and this
2 is where I've raised my family and you want to
3 poison us and completely drag down the entire
4 neighborhood and tell me that you've been
5 inconvenienced by ten meetings that I could
6 barely become a member of or watch.

MS. SCHMITT: Nobody is going to dispute his plans because we're paying them and supporting them and behind them. And you, as Planning Board members, should be behind us and supporting us as community members.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. Pam --
Trish.
Who's next?
Thank you.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. Karen.
MS. MELETA: Yes, hi. This is Karen
Meleta.
Can you hear me?
COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes.
MS. MELETA: Thank you very much.
THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Spell you
last name, please.
MS. MELETA: Sure. M-E-L-E-T-A.
Karen Meleta. I live in Gillette, 23
Lackawanna Boulevard.
I would also like to address how the developer has behaved during this process and, in fact, the issue around how long it's gone on, ten to 12 meetings over a year.

And my concern that the Board should

1 consider in this case is that if he doesn't
2 have the resilience and the ability and the
3 fortitude to muster through a one-year process
4 in ten or twelve meetings, will he have what
5 it will take to maintain the property and the
6 development, because it's a rental, of
7 something of this magnitude and size,
8 providing the care and maintenance and
9 attention to its residents that is right for
10 this town? So you should consider that as you
11 make this decision. kind of questions that needs to be asked

21 regarding this development. And it is
22 shameful that a group of people have to spend
23 their hard earned money to hire a planner and 24 hire a lawyer to ask the questions, to examine 25 the code.

And when Mr. Sandow brings up questions about fill, there's no comment. No one asked any further questions about that. And we should all as residents be concerned as to whether or not the due diligence on this project has been completed. Because I, as a resident, do not believe that that has occurred.

And I also want to remind Mayor Rae that Mr. Hands is the Chairman of this committee. Please act accordingly. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Karen. COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Meleta.

Okay. Mr. Arentowicz.
Mr. Arentowicz.
MR. ARENTOWICZ: Can you hear me?
COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. ARENTOWICZ: My name is Charles Arentowicz, a mere 39-year resident of Millington.

If you approve this application, I recommend the approval be subject to the

1 following conditions: One, the agreement will
2 include an independent LSRP for hire for Long
3 Hill Township paid by the developer. Let me
4 remind you the LSRP representative of this
5 applicant has no experience with asbestos.
Two, a performance bond in an amount
7
8 case, in discovery by the developer, the
9 amount of contamination exceeds their
10 expectations and decides to leave town.
designs by the dedicated architect, Bill Kaufman.

I would like to reiterate what Pam Ogens just said. You canceled eight meetings from this application when it was submitted, whether it be September, when they signed it, on the 6th, maybe submitted it in November, but you canceled eight meetings. And on the 24 th of November, Prism, the applicant, was

1 not at the meeting.

Now we're in a rush. A rush for what? You have a responsibility to respect the citizens of this town.

And let me tell you about Mr. Fourniadis. I don't respect what he said. One, the weekend after June 9th, the gates were open over the dump site allowing people to enter the contaminated area. I called the DEP. I called the police. No one closed the gates. It went on until Monday morning.

Mr. Fourniadis contended he's fixed the asphalt holes in the parking lot. There are so many asphalt holes in that parking lot with asbestos going out you can't count them.

He says the current signs are current. However, the LSRP sign is outdated. There's no keep out signs that were there five years ago.

The applicant states he doesn't know the impact of what the student population is going to be, but in his own application, he uses the Rutgers study to say it's going to be 45 to 176.

And, Mr. Fourniadis, quit shaking

1 your head because you know it's in the 2 document. 5 it up. your time.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay.
Mr. Mike, I'm not going to try to pronounce the last name. Mike?

MR. SMARGIASSI: Yeah. This is Mike Smargiassi, Millington, New Jersey. I also have my wife. So if I could have three minutes and then if she could have three minutes if that's okay.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Certainly. If you could just announce each of you and spell your last name for the court reporter, please. MR. SMARGIASSI: Sure. The last name is Smargiassi, S-M-A-R-G-I-A-S-S-I. And this is Mike.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. Go ahead, Mike.

MR. SMARGIASSI: I'm going to talk quick.

I agree with Mr. Arentowicz on his requirements. I hope that you require them. The applicant has stated that this has been going on for more than a year, but that's when he started submitting papers. And this application wasn't deemed complete until much later than that and that's when it really started for the public and for this Board. So that's a moot point and it's an excuse.

The buildings are soulless. I've

1 been to former East Islam countries, socialist
2 countries, and their developments are the
3 same. They're soulless. The same, all the
4 buildings, because that's the way they wanted
5 it. Everyone has to have the same things.

It's never too late to address the public's concern. I continually hear that and I'm disappointed by that.

I have the largest development opportunity in Millington. It's sad that it did not get to a better design. The poor design is a reflection on the applicant, but it's also a reflection on the architectural standards that we have.

I was a member of the Planning Board. I was a member of the Zoning Board. I know the rules of the game. And I think that if this is conforming to our zoning, it's a shame on both our boards. It's a shame on our Township Committee. It should never happen again. The architectural requirements for anything like this need to be crystal clear. They need the change next week so that when Fair Share comes in, tries to drive something down our throat, which I believe they utilize

1 a form of extortion or they tell you they want 2 something approved or they will sue you, that 3 this does not happen again. We do not have 4 multiple buildings that look like they belong 5 in Romania.

6 And that is my comment.

And he also said that he looked at our little Town of Millington for six years and he drove around and he looked at all the different buildings and he came up with that plan, the first plan.

And if he looked at this town for

1 six years, he would realize that this plan is
2 way too big for Millington. It doesn't fit
3 into our little Town of Millington of 3,000
4 people. With the building of 140 units, if I
5 have my numbers correctly, it could go up to
6500 people. His comment about the school
7 population going as high as 176. This is
8 going to change our town, and I know this has
9 been said over and over again; but if you're
10 here looking at our little Town six years, you
11 would see that the traffic isn't that bad and
12 bringing in all those cars is ridiculous for 13 our little town.

And our members of the Board and our Township Committee, you know what Millington is like. You've lived here for many years. I see you on the street, you know.

So I really hope you take this all into heart when making this really, really big, big decision. You know, as the builder is listening to the public speaking to you over a year, you know this is not something that we want. And I hope that this is really taken into account.

We are a small, simple town. We

1 don't need much. That's what makes us happy.
2 We like our own little slice of heaven here in
3 Millington. And I just hope that people
4 representing our town really take all these
5 things into consideration. And I thank you
6 for your time.
7
time?
COORDINATOR COONCE: Again, members
11 of the public, this is the time for public
12 comments. So if you have not yet made a

22 can't speak for everyone, but I can say with
23 some certainty that those -- an objection to
24 the plans that have also retained our own
25 professionals could reach a degree of

1 satisfaction with some design changes.

3

4

And I hope that this Board has the Mr. Kaufman's proposals have embodied a lot of those as concepts. They morally would meet the vision we all had when the zoning overlay was passed four years ago. The design was quite a surprise when we all saw it because as a professional myself and speaking to others, we would have -- we saw the language of the mixed use zone and we would have interpreted it differently.

And by having an objection to the way that the buildings are sited, this isn't a use of some obscure technicality in the zoning. These are really core issues related to zoning intent that we want to see better addressed in the buildings proposed for this site.

There are some other issues, too. We feel that by grading the site differently, this could be an overall safer plan by disturbing less soil, particularly at the ability to come together and figure out a way to have some of our ideas, proposals, and

1 requirements for making this a safer
2 development taken into account.

I don't see anybody, Mr. Chairman, so I would say we move into closing statements.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: I think so.
Thank you, members of the public, for speaking eloquently in many cases. I appreciate that. Thank you.

Okay. Jolanta, how do we want to
start to close? Is that an opportunity for the applicant to get to ourselves, to summate?

MS. MAZIARZ: Yes. So at this point, both the applicant and the objector will have an opportunity to give their summations of the application. And by applicant and objector, I mean Mr. Regan on behalf of the applicant and Mr. Simon on behalf of the objectors.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Which order do we prefer to go in?

MS. MAZIARZ: However -- however the attorneys would like.

Mr. Regan, would you like to go first or would you like Mr. Simon to go first?

MR. REGAN: I'd like to go last.
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay. Very good. I mean, that's generally the order of things. The applicant goes last.

MR. SIMON: I believe that's
appropriate as well.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Okay.
MR. SIMON: Thank you.
So I want to, of course, start off by thanking the Board. You know, it's never easy to have an application that goes on for many meetings regardless of what the subject matter is. And we're trusting that this Board is and has listened to all the evidence, all the testimony, reviewed all the plans, exhibits, that have been presented by all sides.

But let's be clear about something. This is not a fully conforming application.

1 And in this case, and we heard the developer 2 speak just a few moments ago, it is not true 3 that the developer here is following the rules 4 of the game, as he calls it, whether it's 5 Monopoly or any other game. There's no legal

6 obligation for this Board at this time to
7 approve this application in its current form
8 and based on its current design.
And to hear argument and complaints
10 that if we had just known of all these
11 problems that you had, we may have done
12 something. The word is "vapid" that comes to

Transcripts are all online. They speak for themselves.

Many meetings when through comments
of this Board, comments of the public via questioning, that the applicant knew all too well in an extremely clear fashion the concerns of this Board, the concerns of the public, and the issues that it faces and faced with regard to complying with the ordinance. And at no time did the applicant with regard to the residential buildings do anything. The attitude is it's my project.

1 You heard it even tonight. If you want to 2 approve it, you approve it. If you want to 3 deny it, you deny it. This is what we're 4 doing. I'm not willing to work with you at 5 all despite what they may have said three 6 meetings ago. Now all of a sudden, they're 7 not willing to work with you, not willing to 8 work with the community because they don't 9 care.

11 members of the public and their concerns that
12 have not remotely been addressed by the

15 revision or, more importantly, as the
16 transcript and the evidence will bear out, no
17 evidence presented to this Board to
18 demonstrate why it can't be done. Why what
19 the public and the Board has concerns about

22 process. That is why notice is provided under cannot be addressed.

There is a reason for a public the Municipal Land Use Law, so that the public can come out, that the public can ask questions, the public can present testimony,

1 the public can present evidence by way of
2 alternatives to demonstrate that the applicant
3 is not complying with the ordinance
4 requirements.
5 But instead of reacting or
6 responding to that information that is
7 provided as part of the public record, as part
8 of the public process, that the Municipal Land
9 Use Law allows and encourages, the response is
10 this is what we've got, take it or leave it.
11
12 need legally or otherwise for a rush to
13 judgment here. And this is a huge opportunity
14 that is being missed to do the right thing for
15 this community in compliance with the
16 ordinance.

19 having to do with jurisdiction and use. So it is clear, because there's ordinance provisions that say that no more than one principal building shall, which is mandatory, be permitted on any one lot in the township except in certain zones. There's five or six zones. And the MOU (sic) zone is not included

1 in the list of zones that are excluded.

So, therefore, the subject zone can't have more than one principal building on any lot. And here we're talking about 14 principal residential buildings, one principal commercial building, one clubhouse building. Let me talk about the clubhouse for a second.

The clubhouse, of course, as we know, is not delineated as a permitted accessory use. There's been no proofs whatsoever by the applicant. And we all know that it's the applicant's burden of proof with regard to this application. It is not the Board's burden of proof. The applicant has provided nothing to demonstrate that despite it not being a delineated accessory use, that a clubhouse building for a transit-oriented development in the State of New Jersey, a mixed-use project, is customarily incidental to that project, namely 14 residential buildings, one commercial building as part of a transit-oriented development.

There's been no showing that that is the case and it's just not accurate or truthful as a matter of law.

LU 124.5 with regard to swimming pools actually states that swimming pools shall be a permitted accessory use in delineated zone. It's like the C zone, the R-2, the R-3 and the R-4 zone. Subject to certain requirements.

The MOU (sic) zone is not one of those zones. So the ordinance didn't miss it. And usually when you have an accessory use that's not stated in the ordinance, it is because, in some cases, that that use was not contemplated by the governing body at the time the ordinance was created.

Clearly swimming pools were contemplated and they were determined to be in

1 certain zones and not determined to be in
2 other zones, including the MOU (sic) zone. 22 and 8, I believe, facing Division Avenue, that

23 have front doors facing Division Avenue are at
So, and not to -- not to also state that under the -- under LU 124.5, I believe, Section A, that all swimming pools must be located upon the same lot as the principal building to which they are related, which isn't the case certainly here.

So what do we have? We have a mixed-use overlay zone with certain permitted uses including commercial uses. Personal service uses, restaurants, offices, as well as multifamily dwellings.

And we've already provided testimony, whether it was by Mr. Pessolano, whether it was by Mr. Kaufman, that we believe certainly that a D-6 height variance is required in this particular case, especially given that five proposed dwelling structures, Buildings 1 and 14 that are facing the New Jersey Transit tracks, and Buildings 10, 12 three stories and 45 feet, where the ordinance limits the height to two and a half stories

1 and 35 feet. It's approximately a 30 percent 2 differential, or delta, and that's what

3 triggers a D-6 height relief requirement that
4 we all know can't be granted by this Board.

And similarly, with regard to the other provision about buildings facing Stone House Road and in the interior of the property being a maximum of three stories and 45 feet. You know, the fact that it declares that a taller height limit is permitted for interior buildings, that means that there has to be interior buildings in one height and exterior buildings in another height, which are clearly the buildings on the edge of development that are most oriented to and therefore facing the public street, in this case, you know, Division Avenue.
So certainly D-6 relief we also feel is necessary for the excessive building height

1 along Stone House Road. So that's Buildings, 2 I believe, 6, 7 and 8 .

3

And we also heard testimony that the height measurement that is relied on by the applicant we believe is distorted due to the proposed significant filling and the creation of steep slopes to artificially have the roof at or near the same level of the other

9 buildings in the proposed development.
And you heard the testimony that as a result of, for example, Building 6, that the height proposed as a result of the filling is going to be about 70 feet over the elevation of Stone House Road at a distance of less than 40 feet from the front property line, clearly way over the ordinance requirement. Clearly not what the governing body could have fathomly intended at the time that they put the ordinance together.

And even if you don't believe that any type of use variance, $D-6$ or otherwise, is required for the height of the buildings, this Board is nevertheless obligated to consider the enormous scale of those buildings and the negative visual impact that they will have on

1 the surrounding community.

The other thing to keep in mind here is that under the ordinance, that the MU-O zone is considered both a business zone, a commercial zone, a mixed-use zone, a multifamily zone, a nonresidential zone, and a residential zone.

So that is significant in determining what is relevant and what is not relevant.

So, for example, for floor area ratios in residential zones, it's clearly identified as a residential zone, clearly nonresidential uses can be put on this zone. And as a result of that, we do believe, with all due respect, of course, to the Board planner, that Section 132.6 that talks about floor area ratios in residential zones certainly is applicable here.

Now, the other thing to keep in mind, also, to remind this Board is that under Section LU 103.3, any deviation from the provisions of Section 130 in the ordinance require not exception relief, but they require variance relief, and those sections include

1 uniformity in architectural design and 2 appearance.

So the fact that these units all look alike, and there's no dispute that they do, and they clearly violate Section 135.1, the applicant was required to provide proofs with their burden of proof demonstrating entitlement to a variance, not an exception: A bulk variance.

And that is either under, of course, $\mathrm{C}-1$ or $\mathrm{C}-2$. $\mathrm{C}-1$ meaning there's a hardship with regard to the lot itself and the property that requires the applicant to demonstrate that they have no choice, in essence, but to go with these look alike provisions; and under the $C-2$, the flexible benefits outweighing the detriments, they need to demonstrate that what they're proposing here, having all these buildings, 14 residential buildings looking exactly alike, is a better zoning alternative for the property.

I would submit to you that the variance relief wasn't applied for, it wasn't noticed for. No proof was provided to substantiate approval for any type of -- that

1 type of variance relief. And certainly if it 2 was provided, certainly it wouldn't be enough 3 for this Board to make a determination that 4 they met the positive and negative criteria 5 for that type of relief. And that's very 6 significant in terms of what is required of 7 the applicant. And, again, yet another

8 example as to why this is not a case where the 9 applicant has met all of the provisions set 10 forth in the ordinance.

12 standards that need to be met. And this Board

22 ordinance as may be reasonable and within the
if, it's a big if, the literal enforcement of one or more provisions of the ordinance is

1 impracticable or will exert undue hardship
2 because of peculiar conditions pertaining to
3 the land in question.

4
5 think about with regard to sections such as
6 lighting. Section 153.2 that says that you
7 have . 2 footcandle maximum and they're
8 proposing 1.9 footcandles proposed. You know,
9 multiple deviation there. There's no reason
10 the lighting cannot be made to conform.
11
12 landscaping. There's 119 trees required.

1 to the natural features and the surrounding 2 area.

3 11 intent of the site plan ordinance. They 12 haven't done that. They have to show that

14 haven't done that. And they certainly haven't
15 shown that there's going to be any undue 16 hardship because of peculiar conditions

All of those sections have been violated by the applicant via the design that has been presented. And it is incumbent upon the applicant under those circumstances to provide proofs demonstrating exception relief, showing that what they're doing is reasonable. They haven't done that. They have to show that it's within the general purpose and literal enforcement is impracticable. They pertaining to the land in question that prevents them from complying with the site plan or design sections of the ordinance.

Before I leave this area, I just want to also remind this Board that under Section 162.5 of your ordinance, an applicant may elect, of course, to file for both preliminary and final approval, site plan approval, simultaneously, right? They're

1 allowed to do that.

However, you know what it says? It says applicant seeking simultaneous approvals do so at the peril of added expenses if changes in design are required. The point being is that it's baked into this -- into your ordinance that there may be circumstances where the applicant made changes to the design of their project.

Further, in Section 162.3 of the ordinance, it talks about the fact that this Planning Board is to determine whether all the standards have been observed, which you have the obligation to do during your deliberation; whether you have the obligation to note objections to parts of the plan that do not meet the standards; that the Board has the right to make not only corrections but recommendations for desired changes to effect compliance with the ordinance and be satisfied that the site plan represents the most desirable alternative for use and/or development of the site in compliance with the ordinance.

And it goes on to say that only

1 then, when the Board is satisfied that the
2 proposed development complies with the
3 requirements of the ordinance, at that point
4 only shall the app -- shall this Board approve 5 the application.

1 earth tones. Clapboard finishes that are
2 being proposed here on these tall structures 3 are certainly going to be a mismatch in the 4 design fabric evident through Millington 5 Village. considering that this development will represent the largest single development in the history of Long Hill.

And you have to take that, you know, really into consideration in thinking about what we're trying to accomplish here with this applicant not complying with the ordinance requirements.

And I remind this Board that one of the ordinance goals set forth in Section 102 speaks to attaining compatibility with the neighborhood setting. And certainly in this

1 case where you have a transit-oriented
2 development, which is unique and it's not --
3 it doesn't come around every day, every week,
4 every year, or every 20 years. It's
5 imperative to get it right and to strive for
6 the best design you can achieve under the
7 ordinance.

11 the local architecture or history of the
12 Millington regional area as required by
13 ordinance.
Now, look, we all know that despite me yelling and screaming at times, the reality is that a proceeding before a Planning Board is not essentially an adversary proceeding. And there's a case on this. It's Smith versus Fair Haven. And what it states is that the Board is charged with obtaining all the facts and then, in the exercise of its discretion, "making a decision which best accords with the rights of the applicants and the interests of the public based on the record presented."

And I would certainly submit that

1 under these circumstances, it is not the
2 responsibility of this Board, it is not the 3 responsibility of the Board's professionals,

4 to redesign this proposal. This is the
5 applicant's obligation. And the applicant
6 knew of the concerns early on in this process
7 and chose to do nothing.

9 They were obligated to provide all pertinent 10 details needed to be submitted to comply with

11 the ordinance. And if they didn't comply with
12 the ordinance, it's their obligation to ask
13 for the appropriate variance or exception
14 relief.

22 that's going to be imported here and hauled in
This particular setting here,
opposite Millington Village center, warrants
careful attention to fit this type of project
in there. You know, you heard from
Mr. Pessolano. Again, you heard from
Mr. Kaufman as to what's going on here. And
we talked even tonight about the massive fill
that's going to be imported here and hauled in
to provide for massive retaining walls that
can be reduced by a significant extent if
there was an opportunity for this developer to

1 not ask for an approval tonight but instead to
2 come back with an intelligent plan.

All we are looking for in objecting here is intelligent development. We are not complaining about things that do -- that have anything to do with matters that don't -- that are not addressed by the ordinance that is applicable here; and we want to make sure that it's as least impactful as possible on the surrounding community.

And, you know, you heard again, and I don't have to repeat it, all the smart comments from members of the public about the impact this is going to have on this community.

You know, I will also tell you
parenthetically when $I$ hear the testimony and participate in an application like this, I do think back in all sincerity of a time when $I$ was a very young Board member of a town -- in a town where I lived, my very first year, and we approved a project that was very questionable. I didn't know enough. And I drive by it every single day and I cringe. I know that has nothing to do with this. But

1 when I'm presenting here and listening to the
2 evidence and reading the transcripts, with all
3 due respect, that is what $I$ continue to go
4 back to.

5
6

So on behalf of our clients, we thank the Board for its consideration of our evidence in opposition to the project as currently proposed. We also, of course, thank the applicant and its professionals, including Mr. Regan, for their courtesies throughout this matter despite my short stint with this matter.

And we do sincerely encourage them to seriously consider what we have submitted, the arguments that we have made prior to asking for a vote on this application, and to ask that the public work together in a short time frame, frankly, with the applicant, that we have asked the opportunity to do a number of times, to come up with a project that everyone can be proud of.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, Mr. Simon.

Before we continue, it is 10:22 and

1 we have a history of rushing the last few 2 minutes. Obviously we're not in that position 3 tonight.

Are we willing to continue tonight? Thumbs up all around? So 11 o'clock? Should we go just to 11 and see how that takes us?

MAYOR RAE: I mean, just one thing. I mean, we have another summation and then we have our own -- then we have our own discussion, which $I$ think is going to be reasonably long.

Do we really think that 11 o'clock is going to be the time, that we're going to be able to wrap it up by then?

I think, you know, given the things that have been raised, the issues that have been raised, our discussions, you know, could very well take us to a lot longer than 11 o'clock, especially after the -- with another summation to go.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: So your suggestion, Brendan, is to have a second -- another meeting? Is that your suggestion?

MAYOR RAE: I mean, I think that's what we're really looking at. I mean, if we

1 really want to give this, you know, really the
2 thought and the discussion that it deserves,
3 right, I think we do need another meeting.
4 And I didn't think I'd be the one to say that.
5 But, you know, it really is. It's just -- we
6 would be here until -- unless we're prepared
7 to stay here until, you know, midnight and
8 maybe beyond, because $I$ think it may very well
9 take that amount of time. something.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Two questions. One, do we have a time limitation on storage of the video, Dennis?

BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: No. No. The time limitation only applies when we're in the courtroom and recording on the server directly.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you.
BOARD MEMBER SANDOW: The Township Committee ran four and a half hours last month at one meeting, although I'm certainly not going to recommend that.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Thank

1 you.

Mr. Chair, I would say to propose 11:30 as opposed to 11.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: To Brendan's point, though, do we think even that -- or does everyone want to reflect on the additional testimony and commentary made tonight and postpone to the next meeting?

BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: Am I live? I'm live. Based on the comments by Mr. Simon and the members of the public, I probably have a half an hour's worth of questions myself right now. But while they're fresh on my mind and fresh on all of our minds, maybe we might go beyond 11 o'clock. I'm fine with it. I'd like to try to, you know, push through as much as we can tonight and make wise decisions, again, while a lot of these facts and questions are fresh in our mind. That's just my opinion.

VICE CHAIRMAN JONES: Agreed. I'm on vacation, so I've got nothing. So respectfully, everyone's got work in the morning.

MAYOR RAE: Yeah. And also, you

1 know, the late hour may very well cloud some
2 of the discussion as well, although it's still
3 fresh in our minds. That certainly has to
4 play into our discussion, our thoughts, on
5 whether we want to take this through. Because
6 I think if we do, then we're going the whole
7 way, however long it takes. We're kind of at
8 a point now where we can either stop or we can
9 go; but if we go on, we're going through till
10 whenever it ends and we have to be prepared
11 for that.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Well, if you're there's no point in going till 11 tonight

The issue is are we going to have because you're still going to have to meet
the same Board in January? That's my concern. Because there are a number of members whose

1 terms expires.

11 Thank you.

MR. REGAN: A special meeting?
BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: If we're going to have the same Board, fine. If we're not going to have the same Board, then we've got other issues in terms of who is going to be able to vote, how many people are going to be able to vote, et cetera. If I'm not mistaken, there are three members whose terms is up.

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Fair point, Alan.

Deb, when's the next opportunity should we want to --

COORDINATOR COONCE: Well, the only thing I can say, as far as notices go, I mean, we could do a special on the 29 th of December. Because I have to notice. I have to have ten days. So $I$ can't say we can do it the 22 nd because I don't think $I$ could get it in the paper in time in this week for notice. So I would say to the Board -- not to mention that's the holiday week anyway.

So I would suggest to the Board, if the Board were willing to consider a special meeting, it would be on Tuesday, December

1 29th. 22 you schedule a special meeting before the

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Not a good idea? COORDINATOR COONCE: Well, no, because of the holidays.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: I would think that's the best option.

COORDINATOR COONCE: It's the best option because of the holidays and because I have to put the notices out.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Sure. I'd rather do that than go to 11:30 and have to continue anyway.

MR. REGAN: Yeah. If I might, Mr. Chairman, obviously we're very concerned with the idea of this going into next year and affecting the number of Board members, you know, that would be eligible to vote. Obviously, you know, we're prepared to continue tonight, but it's ultimately your decision. But we would appreciate, if you're not going to go forward tonight, that end of the year. We'll make ourselves available.

1 There's no question on that one.

MR. FOURNIADIS: Can I ask a
question? Question.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Sorry. Go
ahead.
MR. FOURNIADIS: So what's going to happen at the next meeting? Frank's going to give his summation or maybe Frank could sum up tonight, and then at the next meeting, it's just deliberation, no more testimony, no more public, no more alternative plans. Is that what we're doing?

CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you. Thank you.

Frank, how long was your summation going to be?

MR. REGAN: I mean, if we're going to do a special meeting, I think I would prefer to wait until then and do it at the outset. I mean, I don't anticipate it being overly long, but $I$ would think it might be more appropriate to do it at that time.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: I'd move for a special meeting on the $29 t h$ of December.

BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: Second.
COORDINATOR COONCE: Okay. We have
a first and a sec -- a motion and second to have a special meeting on the 29th of December.

All in favor?
(Whereupon, a voice vote was taken; chorus of "ayes" heard.)

COORDINATOR COONCE: Any opposed?
No. Okay. So now we will

```
officially have a special meeting on the
```

29th.

Now we will need a motion and a second to carry this application to that special meeting.

BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: So moved.
MAYOR RAE: Second.
MS. MAZIARZ: Without further notice.

COORDINATOR COONCE: Correct. Without further notice by the applicant.

All in favor?
(Whereupon, a voice vote was taken; chorus of "ayes" heard.)

COORDINATOR COONCE: Any opposed?
No. Okay.
MS. MAZIARZ: And that will be at
7:30 p.m., correct?
COORDINATOR COONCE: Yes, ma'am.
7:30 p.m.
MS. MAZIARZ: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, all. I
appreciate everybody's patience. And have a
happy holiday is all I can say.
BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Thank you.
Merry Christmas.
COORDINATOR COONCE: We need a
motion to -- no, we'll see everybody this
Thursday for the master plan.
BOARD MEMBER PHEIL: Oh, that's
right. Okay.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: I need a motion to
adjourn for tonight.
MAYOR RAE: So moved.
BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: Second.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you, all.
COORDINATOR COONCE: All in favor?
(Whereupon, a voice vote was taken;
chorus of "ayes" heard.)
BOARD MEMBER VERLEZZA: Thank you,
everybody. Happy holidays.
CHAIRMAN HANDS: Thank you.

MR. FOURNIADIS: Thank you, all. (Whereupon, the hearing on this application was adjourned at 10:32 p.m. to

Tuesday, December 29, 2020, at 7:30 p.m.)
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